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#### Abstract

Perfect electromagnetic conductor (PEMC) is a medium where certain linear combinations of electromagnetic fields are required to vanish. Since PMC has found important applications in antenna design, one may expect that PEMC will also have potential for similar applications; therefore it is important to investigate its radiation properties. In this paper, dyadic Green functions in integral forms have been derived for a structure with a dielectric layer on a PEMC plane. Whereas electric and magnetic dyadic Green functions is required to satisfy the dyadic mixed boundary condition on PEMC surface, a new classification of the electric and magnetic dyadic Green functions has been introduced based on parameter $M$ of PEMC boundary. This classification is general and contains classes of dyadic Green functions which satisfy Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of perfect electromagnetic conductors (PEMC) was introduced as an extension of both the perfect electric conductor (PEC) and the perfect magnetic conductor (PMC) [1]. It is characterized by a real medium parameter, $M$, which denotes the admittance of the PEMC boundary. This parameter for two special cases, the PMC and PEC, takes the values zero and infinity, respectively.

[^0]In the perfect electromagnetic conductor, certain linear combinations of electromagnetic fields are required to vanish as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{H}+M \vec{E}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \vec{D}-M \vec{B}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, at the surface of a PEMC the boundary conditions are as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{n} \times(\vec{H}+M \vec{E})=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{n} \cdot(\vec{D}-M \vec{B})=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The most notable specification of PEMC is the nonreciprocity of its boundary when the parameter $M$ has a finite nonzero value [2]. In fact, it has been demonstrated theoretically that a PEMC material acts as a perfect reflector of electromagnetic waves, but differs from the PEC and the PMC in that the reflected wave has a cross-polarized component [3]. Since PEMC does not allow electromagnetic energy to enter, it can be served as a boundary material [2]. Realizations for the PEMC boundary are studied in terms of a layer of some nonreciprocal medium backed by a PEC plane [2].

Lindell and Sihvola introduced a two-parameter duality transformation which is able to transform problems involving PEMC objects with the parameter $M$ in air to corresponding problems where PEMC is replaced by PEC [3]. Thus, problems involving PEMC objects in an isotropic medium can be transformed to ordinary problems. Using the duality transformation, they solved some typical examples: plane-wave reflection from a PEMC plane, rectangular PEMC waveguide, scattering from a small PEMC object, and image theory for a source above a PEMC ground.

Jancewicz has investigated plane electromagnetic wave propagation in PEMC [4]. Also, Ruppin has presented an analytical theory for the scattering of an electromagnetic plane-wave by a perfect electromagnetic conductor sphere $[5,6]$.

In this paper, the dyadic Green functions in integral form for a structure with a dielectric layer on a PEMC plane have been derived.

In Section 2, a new classification of the electric and magnetic dyadic Green functions has been introduced based on parameter $M$ of PEMC boundary. This classification is general and contains classes of the dyadic Green functions which satisfy the dyadic Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. In Section 3, the dyadic Green functions in integral form for a structure with a dielectric layer on a PEMC plane have been derived. We will use $\exp (-i \omega t)$ convention in our analysis.

## 2. CLASSIFICATION OF DYADIC GREEN FUNCTIONS

The boundary conditions of a PEMC surface are represented in (2). The tangential boundary conditions can be written in terms of electric or magnetic fields as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{n} \times[\nabla \times \vec{E}+i M \eta k \vec{E}] & =0  \tag{3}\\
\hat{n} \times\left[\nabla \times \vec{H}-\frac{i k}{M \eta} \vec{H}-\vec{J}\right] & =0 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k$ and $\eta$ are respectively the wave number and the wave impedance in the electromagnetic medium in contact with the PEMC medium. Also, $\hat{n}$ denotes the unit normal vector pointed from boundary of PEMC medium, and $\vec{J}$ denotes the volume current density infinitesimal beyond the PEMC boundary. By considering three sets of fields due to three orthogonal infinitesimal electric dipoles, we can transform (3) into a dyadic form [7].

The technique of dyadic Green function (DGF) is introduced mainly to formulate various canonical electromagnetic problems in a systematic manner to avoid treatments of many special cases which can be treated as one general problem [7]. In general, the notations $\overline{\bar{G}}_{e}$ and $\overline{\bar{G}}_{m}$ are used to denote, respectively, the electric and the magnetic DGFs which are introduced in [7]; they are solutions of the dyadic differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla \times \nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{e}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)-k^{2} \overline{\bar{G}}_{e}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right) & =\overline{\bar{I}} \delta\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{5}\\
\nabla \times \nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{m}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)-k^{2} \overline{\bar{G}}_{m}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right) & =\nabla \times\left[\overline{\bar{I}} \delta\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)\right] . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering a region bounded interiorly by a surface $S_{0}$, which is the boundary of a PEMC medium, and exteriorly by a surface $S_{\infty}$ at infinity, a new classification of the electric and magnetic DGFs can be defined based on parameter $M$ of the PEMC boundary. Consider two groups of dyadic functions which satisfy the dyadic mixed boundary condition with a dimensionless parameter $\alpha$ on $S_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{n} \times\left[\nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)+i \alpha k \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)\right] & =0  \tag{7}\\
\hat{n} \times\left[\nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{m(\alpha)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)+i \alpha k \overline{\bar{G}}_{m(\alpha)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)\right] & =0 . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Actually, $\overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}$ and $\overline{\bar{G}}_{m(\alpha)}$ are mathematical dyadic functions which can be used to designate as the electric and the magnetic DGFs. For example, in the case that $S_{0}$ denotes the PEC surface where $M$ approaches infinity, if one substantiates $\alpha=\infty$ and $\alpha=0$ respectively
in Equations (7) and (8), these equations reduce to $\hat{n} \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\infty)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)=$ 0 and $\hat{n} \times \nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{m(0)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)=0$. Obviously, $\overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\infty)}$ and $\overline{\overline{\mathrm{G}}}_{\mathrm{m}(0)}$ can be nominated as electric and magnetic DGFs in the PEC case, as it is mentioned in [7]. This example shows that, in the general case which $S_{0}$ denotes the PEMC surface with parameter $M$, the relationship between the electric and the magnetic DGFs can be obtained from the following equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right) & =\overline{\bar{G}}_{m(\bar{\alpha})}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{9}\\
\nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{m(\bar{\alpha})}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right) & =k^{2} \bar{G}_{e(\alpha)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)+\overline{\bar{I}} \delta\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right), \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha=M \eta$ and $\bar{\alpha}=-1 / \alpha$. These relationships, can be examined if one substitutes these equations in Equations (7), i.e.,

$$
\hat{n} \times\left[\overline{\bar{G}}_{m(\bar{\alpha})}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)+i \alpha k\left(\nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{m(\bar{\alpha})}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)-\overline{\bar{I}} \delta\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)\right) / k^{2}\right]=0 .
$$

Since source point isn't in boundary, the above equation can be written as

$$
\hat{n} \times\left[(-i k / \alpha) \overline{\bar{G}}_{m(\bar{\alpha})}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)+\left(\nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{m(\bar{\alpha})}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)\right]=0,\right.
$$

which is the same Equation (8) with a dimensionless parameter $\bar{\alpha}$. It means if $\overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}$ is considered as the electric DGF of supposed structure, then $\overline{\bar{G}}_{m(\bar{\alpha})}$ is the magnetic DGF of supposed structure. Now, for instance, one can compare Equation (10) with Equation (4.96) in [7], which can be written as

$$
\nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{m(0)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)=k^{2} \overline{\bar{G}}_{e( \pm \infty)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)+\overline{\bar{I}} \delta\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Obviously, one can see that equation is special case of Equation (10) when $M$ approaches infinity.

## 3. DYADIC GREEN FUNCTIONS FOR A DIELECTRIC LAYER ON A PEMC PLANE

The structure under consideration is shown in Fig. 1. The surface of PEMC plane is denoted by $S_{0}$ and the interface is denoted by $S$. The wave number in region 1 (air) and that of region 2 (dielectric) is denoted, respectively, by $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. As it is typical for more than two media, the Green functions will be denoted by $\overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(i j)}$ and $\overline{\bar{G}}_{m(\alpha)}^{(i j)}$, which $i$ and $j$ indicate field point and source point, respectively. Therefore, the electric DGFs which will be derived are $\overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(11)}, \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(21)}, \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(22)}$ and $\overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(12)}$ where $\alpha=M \eta_{2}$.


Figure 1. A dielectric layer on a PEMC plane.
The corresponding vector wave functions to represent the DGFs associated with plane stratified media, both electric and magnetic, are denoted by $\bar{M}$ and $\bar{N}$ which can be written as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{M}(\bar{k})=\nabla \times(\hat{z} \psi(\bar{k})), & \bar{M}(\bar{k})=i\left(k_{y} \hat{x}-k_{x} \hat{y}\right) \psi(\bar{k}) \\
\bar{N}(\bar{k})=\frac{1}{\kappa} \nabla \times \bar{M}(\bar{k}), & \bar{N}(\bar{k})=\left(-\frac{k_{z}}{\kappa}\left(k_{x} \hat{x}+k_{y} \hat{y}\right)+\frac{k_{x}^{2}+k_{y}^{2}}{\kappa} \hat{z}\right) \psi(\bar{k}) \tag{12}
\end{array}
$$

where, $\kappa^{2}=k_{z}^{2}+k_{x}^{2}+k_{y}^{2}$ and $\psi(\bar{k})=\exp \left(i k_{x} x+i k_{y} y+i k_{z} z\right)$.
It can be shown that $\bar{M}$ and $\bar{N}$ are orthogonal, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iiint \bar{M}(\bar{k}) \cdot \bar{N}\left(\bar{k}^{\prime}\right) d V=0, \quad \iiint(\hat{z} \times \bar{M}(\bar{k})) \cdot\left(\hat{z} \times \bar{N}\left(\bar{k}^{\prime}\right)\right) d V=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

These orthogonality relations can be used to break up a dyadic equation into several distinct equations.

Considering the vector wave functions, the eigenfunction expansions of the electric dyadic Green functions will be derived in two cases namely; electric current source is in region 1 (3.1) or in region 2 (3.2).

### 3.1. Source in Region (1)

In this case, one assumes a localized electric current source in region 1. By the method of scattering superposition, the electric DGFs can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(11)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)=\overline{\bar{G}}_{e 0}^{(1)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)+\overline{\bar{G}}_{e s}^{(11)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(21)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)=\overline{\bar{G}}_{e s}^{(21)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right), \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where the dyadic function $\overline{\bar{G}}_{e 0}^{(1)}$ denotes the free-space electric DGF defined in a medium of the same constitutive constants as that of
region 1 and can be written, by integrating with respect to $k_{z}$ and using contour integration [7], as

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\bar{G}}_{e 0}^{(1)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)= & -\frac{1}{\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}} \hat{z} \hat{z} \delta\left(\bar{R}-\bar{R}^{\prime}\right)+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d k_{x} d k_{y} C_{k_{1}} \\
& \left\{\bar{M}\left( \pm h_{1}\right) \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(\mp h_{1}\right)+\bar{N}\left( \pm h_{1}\right) \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(\mp h_{1}\right)\right\}, z \gtrless z^{\prime} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{M}\left( \pm h_{j}\right) & =\nabla \times\left(\hat{z} e^{i\left(k_{x} x+k_{y} y \pm h j z\right)}\right) \\
\bar{M}^{\prime}\left( \pm h_{j}\right) & =\nabla^{\prime} \times\left(\hat{z} e^{i\left(k_{x} x^{\prime}+k_{y} y^{\prime} \pm h j z^{\prime}\right)}\right)  \tag{17}\\
\bar{N}\left( \pm h_{j}\right) & =\frac{1}{k_{j}} \nabla \times \bar{M}\left( \pm h_{j}\right) \\
\bar{N}^{\prime}\left( \pm h_{j}\right) & =\frac{1}{k_{j}} \nabla^{\prime} \times \bar{M}^{\prime}\left( \pm h_{j}\right)  \tag{18}\\
h_{j}^{2} & =k_{j}^{2}-k_{x}^{2}-k_{y}^{2} \\
C_{k_{j}} & =\frac{i}{8 \pi^{2} h_{j}\left(k_{x}^{2}+k_{y}^{2}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

where, indices $j,(j=1,2)$, represent the regions.
Using some manipulations, the scattered functions must have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\bar{G}}_{e s}^{(11)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)= & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d k_{x} d k_{y} C_{k_{1}}\left\{\left[a_{1} \bar{M}\left(h_{1}\right)+a_{1}^{\prime} \bar{N}\left(h_{1}\right)\right] \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(h_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left[b_{1} \bar{N}\left(h_{1}\right)+b_{1}^{\prime} \bar{M}\left(h_{1}\right)\right] \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(h_{1}\right)\right\}  \tag{19}\\
\bar{G}_{e s}^{(21)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)= & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-} d k_{x} d k_{y} C_{k_{1}}\left\{\left[a_{2}^{+} \bar{M}\left(+h_{2}\right)+a_{2}^{-} \bar{M}\left(-h_{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+a_{2}^{\prime+} \bar{N}\left(+h_{2}\right)+a_{2}^{\prime-} \bar{N}\left(-h_{2}\right)\right] \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(h_{1}\right) \\
& +\left[b_{2}^{+} \bar{N}\left(+h_{2}\right)+b_{2}^{-} \bar{N}\left(-h_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+b_{2}^{\prime+} \bar{M}\left(+h_{2}\right)+b_{2}^{\prime-} \bar{M}\left(-h_{2}\right)\right] \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(h_{1}\right)\right\} . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming $\mu_{2}=\mu_{1}=\mu_{0}$, the boundary condition to be satisfied at $S_{0}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{z} \times\left(\nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(21)}+i \alpha k_{2} \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(21)}\right)=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the boundary conditions at $S$ are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\hat{z} \times\left(\overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(11)}-\overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(21)}\right)=0  \tag{22}\\
\hat{z} \times\left(\nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(11)}-\nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(21)}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using orthogonality relations, these three equations can be broken up into twelve distinct equations (Appendix). These twelve equations lead to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{2}^{+}=\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)-\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)} \\
a_{2}^{\prime+}=-\varrho \frac{2 \alpha}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)} \\
b_{2}^{+}=-\varrho^{\prime} \frac{(1-\rho)-\alpha^{2}(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)} \\
b_{2}^{\prime+}=\varrho^{\prime} \frac{2 i \alpha}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a_{2}^{-}=\rho a_{2}^{+}+\varrho \\ a_{2}^{\prime-}=\rho^{\prime} a_{2}^{\prime+} \\ b_{2}^{-}=\rho^{\prime} b_{2}^{+}+\varrho^{\prime} \\ b_{2}^{\prime-}=\rho b_{2}^{\prime+}\end{array} \quad\right.$ and $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a_{1}=\left(a_{2}^{+}+a_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta}-e^{-i 2 \Delta_{1}} \\ a_{1}^{\prime}=\left(k_{2} / k_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}^{\prime+}+a_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta} \\ b_{1}=\left(k_{2} / k_{1}\right)\left(b_{2}^{+}+b_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta}-e^{-i 2 \Delta_{1}} \\ b_{1}^{\prime}=\left(b_{2}^{\prime+}+b_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta}\end{array}\right.$
where

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \Delta _ { 1 } = h _ { 1 } d } \\
{ \Delta _ { 2 } = h _ { 2 } d } \\
{ \Delta = \Delta _ { 2 } - \Delta _ { 1 } }
\end{array} \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \rho = \frac { h _ { 2 } - h _ { 1 } } { h _ { 2 } + h _ { 1 } } e ^ { i 2 \Delta _ { 2 } } } \\
{ \rho ^ { \prime } = \frac { h _ { 2 } ( k _ { 1 } ) ^ { 2 } - h _ { 1 } ( k _ { 2 } ) ^ { 2 } } { h _ { 2 } ( k _ { 1 } ) ^ { 2 } + h _ { 1 } ( k _ { 2 } ) ^ { 2 } } e ^ { i 2 \Delta _ { 2 } } }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varrho=\frac{2 h_{1}}{h_{2}+h_{1}} e^{i \Delta} \\
\varrho^{\prime}=\frac{2 h_{1} k_{1} k_{2}}{h_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}+h_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)^{2}} e^{i \Delta}
\end{array}\right.\right.\right.
$$

### 3.2. Source in Region (2)

In this case, one assumes a localized electric current source in region 2. Using scattering superposition, the electric dyadic Green functions can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(22)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)=\overline{\bar{G}}_{e 0}^{(2)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)+\overline{\bar{G}}_{e s}^{(22)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{24}\\
& \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(12)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)=\overline{\bar{G}}_{e s}^{(12)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right), \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where the dyadic function $\overline{\bar{G}}_{e 0}^{(2)}$ denotes the free-space electric DGF defined in a medium of the same constitutive constants as that of region 2 and can be written as, by integrating with respect to $k_{z}$ and
using contour integration [7], as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{G}_{e 0}^{(2)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)= & -\frac{1}{\left(k_{2}\right)^{2}} \hat{z} \hat{z} \delta\left(\bar{R}-\bar{R}^{\prime}\right)+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int k_{x} d k_{y} C_{k_{2}} \\
& \left\{\bar{M}\left( \pm h_{2}\right) \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(\mp h_{2}\right)+\bar{N}\left( \pm h_{2}\right) \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(\mp h_{2}\right)\right\}, z \lessgtr z^{\prime}(26)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{k_{2}}$ and $h_{2}$ are defined after Equation (18).
Using some manipulations, the scattered functions must have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{G}_{e s}^{(22)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)= & \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d k_{x} d k_{y} C_{k_{2}}\left\{\overline { M } ( h _ { 2 } ) \left[A_{2}^{+} \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(+h_{2}\right)+A_{2}^{-} \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(-h_{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+A_{2}^{\prime+} \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(+h_{2}\right)+A_{2}^{\prime-} \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(-h_{2}\right)\right]+\bar{M}\left(-h_{2}\right)\left[B_{2}^{+} \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(+h_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+B_{2}^{-} \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(-h_{2}\right)+B_{2}^{\prime+} \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(+h_{2}\right)+B_{2}^{\prime-} \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(-h_{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\bar{N}\left(h_{2}\right)\left[C_{2}^{+} \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(+h_{2}\right)+C_{2}^{-} \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(-h_{2}\right)+C_{2}^{\prime+} \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(+h_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+C_{2}^{\prime-} \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(-h_{2}\right)\right]+\bar{N}\left(-h_{2}\right)\left[D_{2}^{+} \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(+h_{2}\right)+D_{2}^{-} \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(-h_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+D_{2}^{\prime+} \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(+h_{2}\right)+D_{2}^{\prime-} \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(-h_{2}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly;

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\bar{G}}_{e s}^{(12)}\left(\bar{R}, \bar{R}^{\prime}\right)= & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int k_{x} d k_{y} C_{k_{2}}\left\{\overline { M } ( h _ { 1 } ) \left[A_{1}^{+} \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(+h_{2}\right)+A_{1}^{-} \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(-h_{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+A_{1}^{\prime+} \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(+h_{2}\right)+A_{1}^{\prime-} \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(-h_{2}\right)\right]+\bar{N}\left(h_{1}\right)\left[C_{1}^{+} \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(+h_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+C_{1}^{-} \bar{N}^{\prime}\left(-h_{2}\right)+C_{1}^{\prime+} \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(+h_{2}\right)+C_{1}^{\prime-} \bar{M}^{\prime}\left(-h_{2}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming $\mu_{2}=\mu_{1}=\mu_{0}$, the boundary condition to be satisfied at $S_{0}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{z} \times\left(\nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(22)}+i \alpha k_{2} \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(22)}\right)=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the boundary conditions at $S$ are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\hat{z} \times\left(\overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(22)}-\overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(12)}\right)=0  \tag{30}\\
\hat{z} \times\left(\nabla \times \overline{\bar{G}}_{e(\alpha)}^{(22)}-\nabla \times \bar{G}_{e(\alpha)}^{(12)}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using orthogonality relations, these three equations can be broken up into twelve distinct equations. On the other hand, since $\psi^{\prime}(h)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(-h)$ are two independent functions of $z^{\prime}$, if the derived equations are assorted in terms of $\psi^{\prime}(h)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(-h)$, then their coefficients are
required separately to vanish. Hence, twenty four equations result. After simplifying these twenty four equations (Appendix), one obtains

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{2}^{+}=\frac{\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)-\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)} \\
A_{2}^{\prime+}=\frac{2 i \alpha}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)} \\
C_{2}^{+}=-\frac{(1-\rho)-\alpha^{2}(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)} \\
C_{2}^{\prime+}=-\frac{2 \alpha}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { and }\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ A _ { 2 } ^ { - } = \rho A _ { 2 } ^ { + } } \\
{ A _ { 2 } ^ { \prime - } = \rho ^ { \prime } A _ { 2 } ^ { \prime + } } \\
{ C _ { 2 } ^ { - } = \rho ^ { \prime } C _ { 2 } ^ { + } } \\
{ C _ { 2 } ^ { \prime - } = \rho C _ { 2 } ^ { \prime + } }
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ B _ { 2 } ^ { + } = \rho A _ { 2 } ^ { + } } \\
{ B _ { 2 } ^ { \prime + } = \rho A _ { 2 } ^ { \prime + } } \\
{ D _ { 2 } ^ { + } = \rho ^ { \prime } C _ { 2 } ^ { + } } \\
{ D _ { 2 } ^ { \prime + } = \rho ^ { \prime } C _ { 2 } ^ { \prime + } }
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \left\{\begin{array}{l}
B_{2}^{-}=\rho A_{2}^{-}+\rho \\
B_{2}^{\prime-}=\rho A_{2}^{\prime-} \\
D_{2}^{-}=\rho^{\prime} C_{2}^{-}+\rho^{\prime} \\
D_{2}^{\prime-}=\rho^{\prime} C_{2}^{\prime-}
\end{array}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \text { and }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{1}^{+}=\left(A_{2}^{+}+B_{2}^{+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta} \\
A_{1}^{\prime+}=\left(A_{2}^{\prime+}+B_{2}^{\prime+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta} \\
C_{1}^{+}=\left(k_{2} / k_{1}\right)\left(C_{2}^{+}+D_{2}^{+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta} \\
C_{1}^{\prime+}=\left(k_{2} / k_{1}\right)\left(C_{2}^{\prime+}+D_{2}^{\prime+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { and }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{1}^{-}=\left(1+A_{2}^{-}+B_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta} \\
A_{1}^{\prime-}=\left(A_{2}^{\prime-}+B_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta} \\
C_{1}^{-}=\left(k_{2} / k_{1}\right)\left(1+C_{2}^{-}+D_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta} \\
C_{1}^{\prime-}=\left(k_{2} / k_{1}\right)\left(C_{2}^{\prime-}+D_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ are defined previously.

## 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a new classification of the electric and magnetic dyadic Green functions has been introduced with a dimensionless parameter $\alpha$. The relation between the electric and magnetic dyadic Green functions with parameter $\alpha$ has been obtained. Using this classification, the Green theorem can be employed in problems involving a PEMC. The symmetrical properties of dyadic Green functions for our new classification are left for another work. As an important instance, the dyadic Green functions in integral form for a structure with a dielectric layer on a PEMC plane have been derived.
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## APPENDIX A.

a) Source in Region (1)

In this case, Equations (16), (19), and (20) can be substitute into (21) to (23). Using orthogonality relations, Equations (21) to (23) can be broken up into twelve distinct equations which can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
(21) \xrightarrow{\text { yields }}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(a_{2}^{+}-a_{2}^{-}\right)+i \alpha\left(a_{2}^{\prime+}-a_{2}^{\prime-}\right)=0 \\
\left(a_{2}^{\prime+}+a_{2}^{\prime-}\right)+i \alpha\left(a_{2}^{+}+a_{2}^{-}\right)=0 \\
\left(b_{2}^{+}+b_{2}^{-}\right)+i \alpha\left(b_{2}^{\prime+}+b_{2}^{\prime-}\right)=0 \\
\left(b_{2}^{\prime+}-b_{2}^{\prime-}\right)+i \alpha\left(b_{2}^{+}-b_{2}^{-}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.  \tag{A1a}\\
(22) \xrightarrow{\text { yields }}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(a_{2}^{+}+a_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=\left(a_{1}+e^{-i 2 \Delta_{1}}\right) e^{-i \Delta} \\
h_{2} k_{1}\left(a_{2}^{\prime+}-a_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=h_{1} k_{2}\left(a_{1}^{\prime}\right) e^{-i \Delta} \\
h_{2} k_{1}\left(b_{2}^{+}-b_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=h_{1} k_{2}\left(b_{1}-e^{-i 2 \Delta_{1}}\right) e^{-i \Delta} \\
\left(b_{2}^{++}+b_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right) e^{-i \Delta}
\end{array}\right. \\
(23) \xrightarrow{\text { yields }}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h_{2}\left(a_{2}^{+}-a_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=h_{1}\left(a_{1}-e^{-i 2 \Delta_{1}}\right) e^{-i \Delta} \\
k_{2}\left(a_{2}^{\prime+}+a_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=k_{1}\left(a_{1}^{\prime}\right) e^{-i \Delta} \\
k_{2}\left(b_{2}^{+}+b_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=k_{1}\left(b_{1}+e^{-i 2 \Delta_{1}}\right) e^{-i \Delta} \\
h_{2}\left(b_{2}^{\prime+}-b_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=h_{1}\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right) e^{-i \Delta}
\end{array}\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

where, $\Delta_{1}=h_{1} d, \Delta_{2}=h_{2} d$ and $\Delta=\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{1}$.
Now, there are twelve undefined coefficients and twelve algebraic equations. To solve this algebraic system, one can find simplified
equations as

$$
\begin{align*}
\xlongequal{(\mathrm{A} 2 \mathrm{a}),(\mathrm{A} 3 \mathrm{a})} h_{1} a_{1} & =h_{1}\left(a_{2}^{+}+a_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta}-h_{1} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{1}} \\
& =h_{2}\left(a_{2}^{+}-a_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta}+h_{1} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{1}}  \tag{A4a}\\
\stackrel{\text { (A2b), (A3b) }}{\longrightarrow} h_{1} k_{1} k_{2} a_{1}^{\prime} & =h_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}\left(a_{2}^{\prime+}-a_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta} \\
& =h_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)^{2}\left(a_{2}^{\prime+}+a_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta}  \tag{A4b}\\
\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{c}),(\mathrm{A} 3 \mathrm{c})} h_{1} k_{1} k_{2} b_{1} & =h_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}\left(b_{2}^{+}-b_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta}+h_{1} k_{1} k_{2} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{1}} \\
& =h_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)^{2}\left(b_{2}^{+}+b_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta^{i}}-h_{1} k_{1} k_{2} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{1}}(\mathrm{~A} 4 \mathrm{c}) \\
\stackrel{\text { (A2d), (A3d) }}{\longrightarrow} h_{1} b_{1}^{\prime} & =h_{1}\left(b_{2}^{\prime+}+b_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta} \\
& =h_{2}\left(b_{2}^{\prime+}-b_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) e^{i \Delta} \tag{A4d}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l l } 
{ \xlongequal { ( \mathrm { A } 4 \mathrm { a } ) } a _ { 2 } ^ { - } = \rho a _ { 2 } ^ { + } + \varrho } & { ( \mathrm { A } 5 \mathrm { a } ) }  \tag{A5c}\\
{ \stackrel { ( \mathrm { A } 4 \mathrm { b } ) } { \Longrightarrow } a _ { 2 } ^ { \prime - } = \rho ^ { \prime } a _ { 2 } ^ { \prime + } } & { ( \mathrm { A } 5 \mathrm { b } ) }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\stackrel{(\mathrm{A} 4 \mathrm{c})}{\Longrightarrow} b_{2}^{-}=\rho^{\prime} b_{2}^{+}+\varrho^{\prime} \\
\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} 4 \mathrm{~d})} b_{2}^{\prime-}=\rho b_{2}^{+}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

where, $\rho=\frac{h_{2}-h_{1}}{h_{2}+h_{1}} e^{i 2 \Delta_{2}}, \rho^{\prime}=\frac{h_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}-h_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)^{2}}{h_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}+h_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)^{2}} e^{i 2 \Delta_{2}}, \varrho=\frac{2 h_{1}}{h_{2}+h_{1}} e^{i \Delta}$, $\varrho^{\prime}=\frac{2 h_{1} k_{1} k_{2}}{h_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}+h_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)^{2}} e^{i \Delta}$.

With the aid of the eight equations, (A1a) to (A1d) and (A5a) to (A5d), it is a simple task to show that these equations result in

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2}^{+} & =\varrho \frac{\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)-\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)}  \tag{A6a}\\
a_{2}^{\prime+} & =-\varrho \frac{2 i \alpha}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)}  \tag{A6b}\\
b_{2}^{+} & =-\varrho^{\prime} \frac{(1-\rho)-\alpha^{2}(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)}  \tag{A6c}\\
b_{2}^{\prime+} & =\varrho^{\prime} \frac{2 i \alpha}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)} . \tag{A6d}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients $a_{2}^{-}, a_{2}^{\prime-}, b_{2}^{-}$and $b_{2}^{\prime-}$ can be obtained by Equations (A5a) to (A5d), and the coefficients $a_{1}, a_{1}^{\prime}, b_{1}$ and $b_{1}^{\prime}$ can be obtained by Equations (A4a) to (A4d).
b) Source in Region (2)

In this case, Equations (26) to (28) can be substitute into (29) to (31). Using orthogonality relations, Equations (29) to (31) can be broken up into twelve distinct equations. On the other hand, since
$\psi^{\prime}(h)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(-h)$ are two independent functions of $z^{\prime}$, their coefficients are required to vanish. Hence, twenty four equations can be resulted as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& (29) \xrightarrow{\text { yields }}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(C_{2}^{\prime+}+D_{2}^{\prime+}\right)+i \alpha\left(A_{2}^{+}+B_{2}^{+}+1\right)=0 \\
\left(C_{2}^{\prime-}+D_{2}^{\prime-}\right)+i \alpha\left(A_{2}^{-}+B_{2}^{-}\right)=0 \\
\left(A_{2}^{+}-B_{2}^{+}-1\right)+i \alpha\left(C_{2}^{\prime+}-D_{2}^{\prime+}\right)=0 \\
\left(A_{2}^{-}-B_{2}^{-}\right)+i \alpha\left(C_{2}^{\prime-}-D_{2}^{\prime-}\right)=0 \\
\left(C_{2}^{+}+D_{2}^{+}+1\right)+i \alpha\left(A_{2}^{\prime+}+B_{2}^{\prime+}\right)=0 \\
\left(C_{2}^{-}+D_{2}^{-}\right)+i \alpha\left(A_{2}^{\prime-}+B_{2}^{\prime-}\right)=0 \\
\left(A_{2}^{\prime+}-B_{2}^{\prime+}\right)+i \alpha\left(C_{2}^{+}-D_{2}^{+}-1\right)=0 \\
\left(A_{2}^{\prime-}-B_{2}^{\prime-}\right)+i \alpha\left(C_{2}^{-}-D_{2}^{-}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.  \tag{A7a}\\
& (30) \xrightarrow{\text { yields }}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(A_{2}^{+}+B_{2}^{+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=A_{1}^{+} e^{-i \Delta} \\
\left(A_{2}^{-}+B_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}+1\right)=A_{1}^{-} e^{-i \Delta} \\
h_{2} k_{1}\left(C_{2}^{\prime+}-D_{2}^{\prime+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=h_{1} k_{2}\left(C_{1}^{\prime+}\right) e^{-i \Delta} \\
h_{2} k_{1}\left(C_{2}^{\prime-}-D_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=h_{1} k_{2}\left(C_{1}^{\prime-}\right) e^{-i \Delta} \\
\left(A_{2}^{\prime+}+B_{2}^{\prime+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=A_{1}^{\prime+} e^{-i \Delta} \\
\left(A_{2}^{\prime-}+B_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=A_{1}^{\prime-} e^{-i \Delta} \\
h_{2} k_{1}\left(C_{2}^{+}-D_{2}^{+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=h_{1} k_{2}\left(C_{1}^{+}\right) e^{-i \Delta} \\
h_{2} k_{1}\left(C_{2}^{-}-D_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}+1\right)=h_{1} k_{2}\left(C_{1}^{-}\right) e^{-i \Delta}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { a }
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{h_{2}\left(A_{2}^{+}-B_{2}^{+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=h_{1} A_{1}^{+} e^{-i \Delta}} \begin{array}{l}
h_{2}\left(A_{2}^{-}-B_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}+1\right)=h_{1} A_{1}^{-} e^{-i \Delta} \\
k_{2}\left(C_{2}^{\prime+}+D_{2}^{\prime+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=k_{1}\left(C_{1}^{\prime+}\right) e^{-i \Delta} \\
k_{2}\left(C_{2}^{\prime-}+D_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=k_{1}\left(C_{1}^{\prime-}\right) e^{-i \Delta} \\
h_{2}\left(A_{2}^{\prime+}-B_{2}^{\prime+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=h_{1} A_{1}^{\prime+} e^{-i \Delta} \\
h_{2}\left(A_{2}^{\prime-}-B_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=h_{1} A_{1}^{\prime-} e^{-i \Delta} \\
k_{2}\left(C_{2}^{+}+D_{2}^{+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)=k_{1}\left(C_{1}^{+}\right) e^{-i \Delta} \\
k_{2}\left(C_{2}^{-}+D_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}+1\right)=k_{1}\left(C_{1}^{-}\right) e^{-i \Delta}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $\Delta_{1}=h_{1} d, \Delta_{2}=h_{2} d$ and $\Delta=\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{1}$.
Now, there are twenty four undefined coefficients and twenty four algebraic equations. To solve this algebraic system, one can find
simplified equations as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} 8 \mathrm{a}),(\mathrm{A} 9 \mathrm{a})} h_{1} A_{1}^{+} e^{-i \Delta}=h_{1}\left(A_{2}^{+}+B_{2}^{+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) \\
& =h_{2}\left(A_{2}^{+}-B_{2}^{+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)  \tag{A10a}\\
& \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} 8 \mathrm{~b}),(\mathrm{A9b})} h_{1} A_{1}^{-} e^{-i \Delta}=h_{1}\left(1+A_{2}^{-}+B_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) \\
& =h_{2}\left(1+A_{2}^{-}-B_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)  \tag{A10b}\\
& \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} 8 \mathrm{c}),(\mathrm{A} 9 \mathrm{c})} h_{1} k_{1} k_{2} C_{1}^{\prime+} e^{-i \Delta}=h_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}\left(C_{2}^{\prime+}-D_{2}^{\prime+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) \\
& =h_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)^{2}\left(C_{2}^{\prime+}+D_{2}^{\prime+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)(\mathrm{A} 10 \mathrm{c})  \tag{A10c}\\
& \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} 8 \mathrm{~d}),(\mathrm{A} 9 \mathrm{~d})} h_{1} k_{1} k_{2} C_{1}^{\prime-} e^{-i \Delta}=h_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}\left(C_{2}^{\prime-}-D_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) \\
& =h_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)^{2}\left(C_{2}^{\prime-}+D_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)(\mathrm{A} 10 \mathrm{~d})  \tag{A10d}\\
& \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} 8 \mathrm{e}),(\mathrm{A} 9 \mathrm{e})} h_{1} A_{1}^{\prime+} e^{-i \Delta}=h_{1}\left(A_{2}^{\prime+}+{B_{2}^{\prime+}}^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) \\
& =h_{2}\left(A_{2}^{\prime+}-B_{2}^{\prime+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)  \tag{A10e}\\
& \xrightarrow{\text { (A8f), (A9f) }} h_{1} A_{1}^{\prime-} e^{-i \Delta}=h_{1}\left(A_{2}^{\prime-}+B_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) \\
& =h_{2}\left(A_{2}^{\prime-}-B_{2}^{\prime-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)  \tag{A10f}\\
& \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} g \mathrm{~g}),(\mathrm{A} 9 \mathrm{~g})} h_{1} k_{1} k_{2} C_{1}^{+} e^{-i \Delta}=h_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}\left(C_{2}^{+}-D_{2}^{+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) \\
& =h_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)^{2}\left(C_{2}^{+}+D_{2}^{+} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)  \tag{A10g}\\
& \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} 8 \mathrm{~h}),(\mathrm{A} 9 \mathrm{~h})} h_{1} k_{1} k_{2} C_{1}^{-} e^{-i \Delta}=h_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}\left(1+C_{2}^{-}-D_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right) \\
& =h_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)^{2}\left(1+C_{2}^{-}+D_{2}^{-} e^{-i 2 \Delta_{2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} 10 \mathrm{a})} B_{2}^{+}=\rho A_{2}^{+} & (\mathrm{A} 11 \mathrm{a}), & \\
\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} 10 \mathrm{c})} D_{2}^{\prime+}=\rho^{\prime} C_{2}^{\prime+} & (\mathrm{A} 11 \mathrm{c}), & \\
\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} 10 \mathrm{~d})} B_{2}^{-}=\rho A_{2}^{-}+\rho \\
D_{2}^{\prime-}=\rho^{\prime} C_{2}^{\prime-}  \tag{A11h}\\
\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} 10 \mathrm{e})} B_{2}^{\prime+}=\rho A_{2}^{+} & (\mathrm{A} 11 \mathrm{e}), & \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} 10 \mathrm{f})} B_{2}^{\prime-}=\rho A_{2}^{\prime-} \\
\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} 10 \mathrm{~g})} D_{2}^{+}=\rho^{\prime} C_{2}^{+} & (\mathrm{A} 11 \mathrm{~g}), & \\
\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{A} 10 \mathrm{~h})} D_{2}^{-}=\rho^{\prime} C_{2}^{-}+\rho^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

where, $\rho=\frac{h_{2}-h_{1}}{h_{2}+h_{1}} e^{i 2 \Delta_{2}}, \rho^{\prime}=\frac{h_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}-h_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)^{2}}{h_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}+h_{1}\left(k_{2}\right)^{2}} e^{i 2 \Delta_{2}}$.
With the aid of the sixteen equations, (A7a) to (A7h) and (A11a)
to (A11h), it is a simple task to show that these equations result in

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
A_{2}^{+} & =\frac{\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)-\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)} \quad(\mathrm{A} 12 \mathrm{a}), & A_{2}^{-}=\rho A_{2}^{+} \quad(\mathrm{A} 12 \mathrm{~b}) \\
A_{2}^{\prime+} & =\frac{2 i \alpha}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)} & (\mathrm{A} 12 \mathrm{c}), \\
A_{2}^{\prime-}=\rho^{\prime} A_{2}^{\prime+} & (\mathrm{A} 12 \mathrm{~d}) \\
C_{2}^{+} & =-\frac{(1-\rho)-\alpha^{2}(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)} & (\mathrm{A} 12 \mathrm{e}), \\
C_{2}^{-}=\rho^{\prime} C_{2}^{+} \quad(\mathrm{A} 12 \mathrm{f}) \\
C_{2}^{\prime+} & =-\frac{2 i \alpha}{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho^{\prime}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(1-\rho^{\prime}\right)(1+\rho)} \quad(\mathrm{A} 12 \mathrm{~g}), & C_{2}^{\prime-}=\rho C_{2}^{\prime+} \quad(\mathrm{A} 12 \mathrm{~h})
\end{array}
$$

The coefficients $B_{2}^{+}, B_{2}^{\prime+}, D_{2}^{+}, D_{2}^{\prime+}, B_{2}^{-}, B_{2}^{\prime-}, D_{2}^{-}$and $D_{2}^{\prime-}$ can be obtained by Equations (A11a) to (A11h) and the coefficients $A_{1}^{+}, A_{1}^{-}, C_{1}^{\prime+}, C_{1}^{\prime-}, A_{1}^{\prime+}, A_{1}^{\prime-}, C_{1}^{+}$and $C_{1}^{-}$can be obtained by Equations (A12a) to (A12h).
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